Influence of pre-treatments on the corrosion of bare and painted AA6082 aluminium alloys for marine applications

<u>Carsten Blawert</u>¹, Maria Serdechnova¹, Natalia Konchakova¹, Daniel Höche¹, Mikhail L. Zheludkevich¹, Jan Bertram², Andreas Momber², Ole Øystein Knudsen³, Jon Aaby Møretrø⁴

Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Geesthacht/Germany
 Muelhan AG, Hamburg/Germany
 SINTEF, Trondheim/Norway
 Norsk Hydro ASA, Sunndalsøra/Norway





- Introduction & State-of-the-Art
- Motivation
- Experimental
- Results
 - Pretreatments & Bare substrate (Lab)
 - Pretreatments & Paint (Lab vs. Fieldtest)
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgements



- Aluminium parts for automotive, architecture and aerospace, are typically coated in production lines with chemical pre-treatment and automated paint application or powder coating.
- In many marine applications under atmospheric and splash zone exposure, no protective coatings will be required for aluminium from the corrosion point of view.
- However, due to other requirements, e.g. signal colours or uniform appearance, coatings are still applied and should have a high durability.
- As a consequence, in marine constructions aluminium will often have to be coated under harsher, less controlled conditions in a similar manner to the rest of the construction, i.e. by blast cleaning and spray coating.



- Procedures for blast-cleaning and spray painting of structural aluminium (and coating repair in the field) do not exist and need to be developed.
- Pretreatments can have adverse effects on the corrosion resistance of the substrate due to severe mechanical deformation or transfer of contaminations from the blasting material.

• How do they effect corrosion of the bulk material and performance of paints?

• Garnet, the current standard blasting process, is not the most environmentally benign process, as the garnet needs to be disposed after use.



Are alternative blasting processes with similar or better performance available?

• Sustainability, requires proper and long-lasting repair treatments.



What are the best repair options?

Experimental: Pretreatments & Paint



Sample manufacturing/ preparation

- Deliver, clean, cut, mark, drill and thread all samples.
- Regarding the pre-experiments: approximately 400 samples

Surface pre-treatment

 Degrease, grind, brush and blastclean all samples.

Sample coating/handling

- Clean prepared samples with compressed air
- Mark, sort, separate and fix samples for coating application
- Coating application
- Pack samples and delivery to the project partners in Europe



© Muehlhan



Six different pretreated AA6082 substrates with and with paint application were received from Muehlhan **Pretreatments**

- Garnet blast-cleaning: GMA garnet; particle size: 0.25 to 0.60 mm, ground coverage: 25-30 m2/h, pressure 4 to 7 bar
- Hybrid blasting: GMA garnet; particle size: 0.25 to 0.60 mm + Dry-ice pellets; particle size: 2.0 to 3.0 mm, ground coverage: 6-8 m2/h, pressure 4 to 7 bar
- Glass-grit blasting: Abrasive VB4; particle size: 0.80 to 1.50 mm, ground coverage: 25-30 m2/h, pressure 4 to 7 bar
- Angle grinder with corundum (Al₂O₃) disc, 80 mesh, max. 12300 rotations/min
- Angle grinder with stainless steel cup brush, 0.3 mm wavy brushes, max. 8500 rotations/min
- Bristle-Blaster (stainless steel belt), 0.7 mm, 2500 rotations/min

Paint application

Spray coating: "Jotamastic 90" (DNV Category II), 1-layer epoxy coating, 250 µm dry film thickness

- Morphology: Tescan Vega microscope, equipped with EDX detector (eumeX Instrumentebau GmbH)
- Roughness: Laser scanning confocal microscope (LMS 800, Zeiss) with ConfoMap®ST software (ISO 4287)
- Adhesion: Elcometer F510-20T (ISO 4624)
- VDA: DIN EN ISO 11997-1, Zyklus B (formerly VDA cyclic climate test 621-415) with neutral artificial seawater (up to 12 weeks):

24 h SST acc. to DIN EN ISO 9227 NSS (artificial seawater)
96 h condensed water 40°C acc. to DIN EN ISO 6270-2 CH
48 h standard climate 23°C acc. to DIN 50014

 Filiform corrosion (up to 6 weeks): ISO 4623-2 (modified – HCL was dripped into the scratch and wiped-off after 1 min)



marır

Experimental & Characterisation Techniques



Outdoor exposure of selected coated specimens (2 years Helgoland (harbour), north sea, Germany)





Surface pre-treatment methods: Morphology and iron content



As received



Bristle-Blaster



Grinding disc



Steel brush



^{10/17/2024} Hybrid blasting (Garnet & Dry Ice)



Glass grit blasting



Garnet blasting

Iron content in wt.%, 5 points average, ca. 1.5 x 2 mm area

Repair:directed, anisotropic surface morphologies Blasting: irregular, isotropic surface morphologies





marinAl



Surface pre-treatment method

Surface roughness after different surface pre-treatments





Surface preparation method



SST (VDA): Results for prepared surfaces





10/17/2024

10 9 Garnet used as a 8 Pull off strength, MPa reference for other 7 methods 6 Limit: 5.0 MPa (ISO 12944-9) 5 (Norsok M-501) 4 3 8.1. 2 1 **Garnet blasting Glass-Grit** Hybrid-blasting Bristle-Blaster Grinding disc **Steel-Brush** blasting

Adhesion of not corroded coated specimens

Surface preparation method





Adhesion failure close to the metal coating interface for all specimen ¹⁴

Coated surfaces after VDA testing (3000 hours)





Coated surfaces after VDA testing (3000 hours)





Filiform tests on prepared coated AA6082 substrates (delaminated area in %) marinAl



10/17/2024



Coated samples after filiform corrosion testing





Samples at Fraunhofer Test Side at Helgoland after 24 months of exposure



Splash zone: Coated panels with garnet blasting, hybrid blasting and bristle blaster pretreatment

Tidal zone: Coated panels with garnet blasting and bristle blaster pretreatment

Immersed zone: Repair is not likely and no comparision was done

Coated surfaces after outdoor exposure (Helgoland, splash zone, 2 years)

Garnet blasting



Hybrid blasting



Bristle-Blaster



Minor defects (blister) in all coatings – no significant differences for the pretreatments

Coated surfaces after outdoor exposure (Helgoland, tidal zone, 2 years)

Garnet blasting

Bristle-Blaster



7.5 cm

No defects at all in the tidal zone

marinAl



 The pretreatments do have an influence on the surface morphology and composition of the aluminium surface:

Grinding tools: cleaner surface, more regular, directed surface morphologies

Blasting processes: less clean surfaces, because of up-take of the blasting components, irregular surface morphologies

- Corrosion: performance after blasting is worse, but unsure if it is related to the surface roughness or the impurities, overall performance is still excellent in the VDA test
- Paint adhesion: no clear influence of the pretreatments prior to corrosion testing



Lab testing

- Only the filiform test allows a differentiation between blasting processes (blisters around the artificial scratch) and grinding tools (total loss of adhesion close to the defect) – larger damaged area in the case of grinding processes
- The more regular directed surface morphologies are more prone to underpaint migration (Lab)

Field testing

- Conditions in the splash zone are more severe than in the tidal zone
- Corrosion damage in the field is less severe than in the filiform lab test

Lab vs. Field testing

 VDA test is too mild and filiform test to severe compared to the field test; Latter allows to indicate trends of performance and mechanisms



- The AA6082 alloy is quite tolerant regarding the surface condition prior painting.
- Substrate corrosion has, if at all, only minor influence on the paint performance.
- Mechanical interlocking seems to be more important than the cleaner surface, remains of blasting material is neglectable regarding paint performance.
- Overall, alternatives exist for Garnet blasting to reduce environmental impact, but all three repair methods show a more detrimental performance based on filiform test.
- A similar more detrimental performance was not seen in the field tests. Significant differences between blasting and repair processes could not be confirmed.
- Sacrificial anodes (Zn) can prevent corrosion and paint degradation of scribed panels in the tidal zone.



The project "MarTERA-MARINAL" is funded through the European Commission,

the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK) under grant 03SX552(b)

and The Research Council of Norway under grant No.: 323812.



Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Klimaschutz

Gefördert durch:

aufgrund eines Beschlusses des Deutschen Bundestages







Many thanks for your attention!

Are there any questions?

Muehlhan Hamburg: Jan Bertram Andreas Momber Hamburg/Germany

Hereon, Surface characterisation: Maria Serdechnova Carsten Blawert Geesthacht/Germany

Hereon, Modelling: Natalia Konchakova Daniel Höche Geesthacht/Germany

SINTEF: Ole Øystein Knudsen Trondheim/Norway

Norsk Hydro ASA: Jon Aaby Møretrø Sunndalsøra/Norway









