

The Nordic Association of Architectural Research / Nordisk Arkitekturforskning (NAF)

Information for reviewers of **academic essays**
submitted for publication to The Nordic Journal of Architectural Research

The editors of **Nordic Journal of Architectural Research** would appreciate the referees' assessment with respect to the quality of ideas, the substance and the writing of the paper, and its suitability for publication in the journal.

AAR do not allow peer reviewers to use Generative AI tools when reviewing manuscripts. Nor can Generative AI tools be devoted for analysis or to summaries on submitted articles/essays. Peer Reviewers may only use Generative AI tools for language improvement of their review report.

Referee's Name:	
Manuscript Title:	
Please return your comments by:	

Please give your recommendation by ticking one of the following categories:

OVERALL QUALITY	Indicate appropriate category
Acceptance	
Acceptance subject to minor revisions (please provide guidance and comments which can be sent to the author)	
Major revisions required for acceptance (Only if the essay has real promise, and if you can give the clear guidance that can be sent to the author(s) to enable them achieve the desired result)	

Rejection

(Do please offer some comments, which can be passed on to the author, not just a bare rejection.)

Report

If the referees evaluate that the essay needs changes and improvements, we welcome comments and recommendations to that effect. Please write comments for the author(s) below.

Content and relevance:

-Is the focus of the contribution in line with the academic focus of the proceedings publication (see Call for Papers)? Has the paper a newsworthy and/or relevant topic?

The paper shall have: a clear structure with an **introduction** which states the objectives of the work, what the author wants to discuss, why and how, and provide an adequate background; have a clear **research question** and/or **topic of discussion**; provide a sufficient description on **methods and the selection process**; have a **theoretical frame work**; contextualize and position itself within discourse. **Discussion** should be critical analytical and unfold the thesis or research topic of the author in a reflective manner while contextualizing it and connecting it to its theoretical frame work. **Argumentations and conclusions** should be clear and discursive. The main **conclusion** can be presented in a short last section. All papers shall start with an **abstract** + keywords in English.

-What recommendations and changes are needed for the submission's improvement?

Please insert your comments here:

Structure and Academic Writing Style:

Is the structure and academic writing style of the contribution sufficiently clear, coherent and concise? Are evidence and the author's own ideas well-integrated? Is the essay of an appropriate length in light of the content? Should any parts of the essay be omitted or shortened?

Please insert your comments here:

Language, images and references:

Is the language of the suggested contribution of good quality? Are the images relevant and of good quality? Do you think some necessary visual material like maps or diagrams are missing? Are the references correctly listed and sufficiently extensive?

Please insert your comments here:

